# RSACONFERENCE2014

FEBRUARY 24 - 28 | MOSCONE CENTER | SAN FRANCISCO



Capitalizing on Collective Intelligence

# Rethinking Verifiably Encrypted Signatures: A Gap in Functionality and Potential Solutions

SESSION ID: cryp-r02

Sarah Meiklejohn

Graduate researcher UC San Diego







 In cryptography, we put a lot of effort into accurately modeling security: what an adversary can and can't do





- In cryptography, we put a lot of effort into accurately modeling security: what an adversary can and can't do
- But it's also incredibly important to accurately model functionality!





- In cryptography, we put a lot of effort into accurately modeling security: what an adversary can and can't do
- But it's also incredibly important to accurately model functionality!
- We look at definitions for verifiably encrypted signatures (VES)





- In cryptography, we put a lot of effort into accurately modeling security: what an adversary can and can't do
- But it's also incredibly important to accurately model functionality!
- We look at definitions for verifiably encrypted signatures (VES)
  - First show a generic construction based solely on signatures





- In cryptography, we put a lot of effort into accurately modeling security: what an adversary can and can't do
- But it's also incredibly important to accurately model functionality!
- We look at definitions for verifiably encrypted signatures (VES)
  - First show a generic construction based solely on signatures
  - Then propose new definition(s)





























































 Alice and Bob want each other's signature on a particular document, but they don't trust each other



3









#### RSACONFERENCE2014

FEBRUARY 24 - 28 | MOSCONE CENTER | SAN FRANCISCO

# **Definitions for VES:**

- Unforgeability
- Opacity
- Extractability



























































• A secure VES satisfies three properties:





- A secure VES satisfies three properties:
  - Unforgeability: An adversary can't create VES
     ω = VESign(sk<sub>A</sub>,apk,m)





- A secure VES satisfies three properties:
  - Unforgeability: An adversary can't create VES

     ω = VESign(sk<sub>A</sub>,apk,m)
     Opacity: An adversary can't create a signature given just VES
     ω → σ = a Sign(sk<sub>A</sub>,m)





- A secure VES satisfies three properties:
  - Unforgeability: An adversary can't create VES  $\omega = VESign(sk_A, apk, m)$ Opacity: An adversary can't create a signature given just VES = Sign(sk<sub>A</sub>,m) ω Extractability: An adversary can't create valid VES for which arbitration fails ω SKA 6
#### RSACONFERENCE2014

FEBRUARY 24 - 28 | MOSCONE CENTER | SAN FRANCISCO

# A signature-based VES





 Assume we have a signature (KG',Sign',Verify') with message space M' and a transformation T from (M,APK,0/1,Ω) to M'





- Assume we have a signature (KG',Sign',Verify') with message space M' and a transformation T from (M,APK,0/1,Ω) to M'
- Sign, VESign, and Resolve all use Sign', just sign different messages





- Assume we have a signature (KG',Sign',Verify') with message space M' and a transformation T from (M,APK,0/1,Ω) to M'
- Sign, VESign, and Resolve all use Sign', just sign different messages
  Sign VESign Resolve





- Assume we have a signature (KG',Sign',Verify') with message space M' and a transformation T from (M,APK,0/1,Ω) to M'
- Sign, VESign, and Resolve all use Sign', just sign different messages

VESign







Resolve

- Assume we have a signature (KG',Sign',Verify') with message space M' and a transformation T from (M,APK,0/1,Ω) to M'
- Sign, VESign, and Resolve all use Sign', just sign different messages







Resolve

- Assume we have a signature (KG',Sign',Verify') with message space M' and a transformation T from (M,APK,0/1,Ω) to M'
- Sign, VESign, and Resolve all use Sign', just sign different messages





- Assume we have a signature (KG',Sign',Verify') with message space M' and a transformation T from (M,APK,0/1,Ω) to M'
- Sign, VESign, and Resolve all use Sign', just sign different messages







Unforgeability: can't create VES





Unforgeability: can't create VES







- Unforgeability: can't create VES
- Opacity: can't create signature given VES







- Unforgeability: can't create VES
- Opacity: can't create signature given VES







- Unforgeability: can't create VES
- Opacity: can't create signature given VES







- Unforgeability: can't create VES
- Opacity: can't create signature given VES





- Unforgeability: can't create VES
- Opacity: can't create signature given VES
- Extractability: can't create VES for which arbitration fails





- Unforgeability: can't create VES
- Opacity: can't create signature given VES
- Extractability: can't create VES for which arbitration fails



- Unforgeability: can't create VES
- Opacity: can't create signature given VES
- Extractability: can't create VES for which arbitration fails



- Unforgeability: can't create VES
- Opacity: can't create signature given VES
- Extractability: can't create VES for which arbitration fails



#### RSACONFERENCE2014

FEBRUARY 24 - 28 | MOSCONE CENTER | SAN FRANCISCO

# Resolution independence

 The problem with the signature-based construction: Bob got a different object from Alice than from the arbiter!





 The problem with the signature-based construction: Bob got a different object from Alice than from the arbiter!







 The problem with the signature-based construction: Bob got a different object from Alice than from the arbiter!







 The problem with the signature-based construction: Bob got a different object from Alice than from the arbiter!



 Resolution independence: the distributions {Sign(sk,m)} and {Resolve(ask,pk,ω,m)} are identical



#### Separating our construction from existing ones





#### Separating our construction from existing ones

Signature construction is not resolution independent: σ vs. (apk,ω,ω')





## Separating our construction from existing ones

Signature construction is not resolution independent: σ vs. (apk,ω,ω')

- But it is satisfied by all existing VES constructions
  - [BGLS03] uses bilinear groups, BLS signatures, deterministic Resolve
  - [LOSSW05] uses bilinear groups, Waters signatures, randomized Resolve
  - [R09] uses RSA groups and signatures, deterministic Resolve









Verifiably encrypted signatures: encryption really must be happening





- Verifiably encrypted signatures: encryption really must be happening
- Can form ω so that no one can extract σ from ω (by opacity), except the arbiter can extract σ' from the same distribution (by resolution independence)





- Verifiably encrypted signatures: encryption really must be happening
- Can form ω so that no one can extract σ from ω (by opacity), except the arbiter can extract σ' from the same distribution (by resolution independence)
- Not quite encryption: σ' might be different from σ





- Verifiably encrypted signatures: encryption really must be happening
- Can form ω so that no one can extract σ from ω (by opacity), except the arbiter can extract σ' from the same distribution (by resolution independence)
- Not quite encryption: σ' might be different from σ
- Resolution duplication requires: (1) resolution independence, (2) deterministic Resolve, and (3) that there exists an algorithm Extract such that Extract(sk,m,r) = Resolve(ask,pk,VESign(sk,apk,m;r),m)



#### **Constructing PKE with resolution duplication**





#### Constructing PKE with resolution duplication

 With resolution duplication, Alice can form ω := VESign(sk,apk,m;r) so that no one can pull out Sign(sk,m) from ω (by opacity), except the arbiter can pull out σ, and Alice can duplicate σ using Extract(sk,m,r)





## Constructing PKE with resolution duplication

 With resolution duplication, Alice can form ω := VESign(sk,apk,m;r) so that no one can pull out Sign(sk,m) from ω (by opacity), except the arbiter can pull out σ, and Alice can duplicate σ using Extract(sk,m,r)






With resolution duplication, Alice can form ω := VESign(sk,apk,m;r) so that no one can pull out Sign(sk,m) from ω (by opacity), except the arbiter can pull out σ, and Alice can duplicate σ using Extract(sk,m,r)



 $\sigma = Ext(sk,m,r)$ 



 With resolution duplication, Alice can form ω := VESign(sk,apk,m;r) so that no one can pull out Sign(sk,m) from ω (by opacity), except the arbiter can pull out σ, and Alice can duplicate σ using Extract(sk,m,r)







ω=VES(sk,apk,m;r)

 With resolution duplication, Alice can form ω := VESign(sk,apk,m;r) so that no one can pull out Sign(sk,m) from ω (by opacity), except the arbiter can pull out σ, and Alice can duplicate σ using Extract(sk,m,r)



 $\sigma = Ext(sk, m, r)$ 

 $\sigma$ =Resolve(ask,pk, $\omega$ ,m)

ω=VES(sk,apk,m;r)

 With resolution duplication, Alice can form ω := VESign(sk,apk,m;r) so that no one can pull out Sign(sk,m) from ω (by opacity), except the arbiter can pull out σ, and Alice can duplicate σ using Extract(sk,m,r)

This lets us "encrypt" signatures, but we want to encrypt arbitrary bits



 $\sigma = Ext(sk,m,r)$ 



 $\sigma$ =Resolve(ask,pk, $\omega$ ,m)

ω=VES(sk,apk,m;r)

 With resolution duplication, Alice can form ω := VESign(sk,apk,m;r) so that no one can pull out Sign(sk,m) from ω (by opacity), except the arbiter can pull out σ, and Alice can duplicate σ using Extract(sk,m,r)

This lets us "encrypt" signatures, but we want to encrypt arbitrary bits

 Adapt Goldreich-Levin trick [GL89]; show that it is hard to predict (compute) <σ,r> = Σ σ<sub>i</sub> · r<sub>i</sub> mod 2 given just ω and r



 $\sigma = Ext(sk,m,r)$ 



 $\sigma$ =Resolve(ask,pk, $\omega$ ,m)





►KeyGen(1k): Output (pk,sk)←AKG(1<sup>k</sup>)





- EKeyGen(1k): Output (pk,sk)←AKG(1<sup>k</sup>)
- Enc(pk,m): Generate (spk,ssk)←KG(1<sup>k</sup>), ω←VESign(ssk,pk,0;r), σ←Extract(ssk,0,r), and r<sub>σ</sub>←{0,1}<sup>|σ|</sup>. Output c = (spk, ω, r<sub>σ</sub>, m⊕<σ,r<sub>σ</sub>>)





EKeyGen(1k): Output (pk,sk)←AKG(1<sup>k</sup>)

σ=Ext(ssk,0,r)

 Enc(pk,m): Generate (spk,ssk)←KG(1<sup>k</sup>), ω←VESign(ssk,pk,0;r), σ←Extract(ssk,0,r), and r<sub>σ</sub>←{0,1}<sup>|σ|</sup>. Output c = (spk, ω, r<sub>σ</sub>, m⊕<σ,r<sub>σ</sub>>)





►KeyGen(1k): Output (pk,sk)←AKG(1<sup>k</sup>)

σ=Ext(ssk,0,r)

 Enc(pk,m): Generate (spk,ssk)←KG(1<sup>k</sup>), ω←VESign(ssk,pk,0;r), σ←Extract(ssk,0,r), and r<sub>σ</sub>←{0,1}<sup>|σ|</sup>. Output c = (spk, ω, r<sub>σ</sub>, m⊕<σ,r<sub>σ</sub>>)

(spk, ω, r<sub>σ</sub>, m⊕<σ,r<sub>σ</sub>>)





►KeyGen(1k): Output (pk,sk)←AKG(1<sup>k</sup>)

σ=Ext(ssk,0,r)

 Enc(pk,m): Generate (spk,ssk)←KG(1<sup>k</sup>), ω←VESign(ssk,pk,0;r), σ←Extract(ssk,0,r), and r<sub>σ</sub>←{0,1}<sup>|σ|</sup>. Output c = (spk, ω, r<sub>σ</sub>, m⊕<σ,r<sub>σ</sub>>)

(spk, ω, r<sub>σ</sub>, m⊕<σ,r<sub>σ</sub>>)

Dec(sk,c): Parse c = (c<sub>1</sub>,c<sub>2</sub>,c<sub>3</sub>,c<sub>4</sub>). Compute σ = Resolve(sk,c<sub>1</sub>,c<sub>2</sub>,0) and output c<sub>4</sub>⊕<σ,c<sub>3</sub>>





EKeyGen(1k): Output (pk,sk)←AKG(1<sup>k</sup>)

σ=Ext(ssk,0,r)

 Enc(pk,m): Generate (spk,ssk)←KG(1<sup>k</sup>), ω←VESign(ssk,pk,0;r), σ←Extract(ssk,0,r), and r<sub>σ</sub>←{0,1}<sup>|σ|</sup>. Output c = (spk, ω, r<sub>σ</sub>, m⊕<σ,r<sub>σ</sub>>)

(spk, ω, r<sub>σ</sub>, m⊕<σ,r<sub>σ</sub>>)

Dec(sk,c): Parse c = (c<sub>1</sub>,c<sub>2</sub>,c<sub>3</sub>,c<sub>4</sub>). Compute σ = Resolve(sk,c<sub>1</sub>,c<sub>2</sub>,0) and output c<sub>4</sub>⊕<σ,c<sub>3</sub>>

 $\sigma$ =Resolve(ask,pk, $\omega$ ,0)

EKeyGen(1k): Output (pk,sk)←AKG(1<sup>k</sup>)

σ=Ext(ssk,0,r)

 Enc(pk,m): Generate (spk,ssk)←KG(1<sup>k</sup>), ω←VESign(ssk,pk,0;r), σ←Extract(ssk,0,r), and r<sub>σ</sub>←{0,1}<sup>|σ|</sup>. Output c = (spk, ω, r<sub>σ</sub>, m⊕<σ,r<sub>σ</sub>>)

(spk, ω, r<sub>σ</sub>, m⊕<σ,r<sub>σ</sub>>)

Dec(sk,c): Parse c = (c<sub>1</sub>,c<sub>2</sub>,c<sub>3</sub>,c<sub>4</sub>). Compute σ = Resolve(sk,c<sub>1</sub>,c<sub>2</sub>,0) and output c<sub>4</sub>⊕<σ,c<sub>3</sub>>

 $\longrightarrow \sigma = \text{Resolve}(ask, pk, \omega, 0) \qquad C_4 \oplus \langle \sigma, c_3 \rangle = m \oplus \langle \sigma, c_3 \rangle = m$ 

EKeyGen(1k): Output (pk,sk)←AKG(1<sup>k</sup>)

σ=Ext(ssk,0,r)

 Enc(pk,m): Generate (spk,ssk)←KG(1<sup>k</sup>), ω←VESign(ssk,pk,0;r), σ←Extract(ssk,0,r), and r<sub>σ</sub>←{0,1}<sup>|σ|</sup>. Output c = (spk, ω, r<sub>σ</sub>, m⊕<σ,r<sub>σ</sub>>)

(spk,  $\omega$ ,  $r_{\sigma}$ ,  $m \oplus \langle \sigma, r_{\sigma} \rangle$ ) **resolution duplication!** 

Dec(sk,c): Parse c = (c<sub>1</sub>,c<sub>2</sub>,c<sub>3</sub>,c<sub>4</sub>). Compute σ = Resolve(sk,c<sub>1</sub>,c<sub>2</sub>,0) and output c<sub>4</sub>⊕<σ,c<sub>3</sub>>

→  $\sigma$ =Resolve(ask,pk, $\omega$ ,0)  $C_4 \oplus < \sigma$ ,  $C_3 > = m \oplus < \sigma$ ,  $r_{\sigma} > \oplus < \sigma$ ,  $C_3 > = m$ 

The same by





 Interestingly, resolution duplication contributed to the correctness of the encryption scheme rather than its security

 $C_4 \oplus \langle \sigma, C_3 \rangle = m \oplus \langle \sigma, r_\sigma \rangle \oplus \langle \sigma, C_3 \rangle = m$ 





 Interestingly, resolution duplication contributed to the correctness of the encryption scheme rather than its security

 $C_4 \oplus \langle \sigma, C_3 \rangle = m \oplus \langle \sigma, r_\sigma \rangle \oplus \langle \sigma, C_3 \rangle = m$ 

IND-CPA security follows fairly directly from opacity





#### RSACONFERENCE2014

FEBRUARY 24 - 28 | MOSCONE CENTER | SAN FRANCISCO











- Existing VES definitions might not capture desired functionality
  - Provided a solely signature-based VES





- Provided a solely signature-based VES
- Defined resolution independence to "separate" this construction from existing ones





- Provided a solely signature-based VES
- Defined resolution independence to "separate" this construction from existing ones
- Demonstrated how stronger resolution duplication could be used to construct public-key encryption





- Provided a solely signature-based VES
- Defined resolution independence to "separate" this construction from existing ones
- Demonstrated how stronger resolution duplication could be used to construct public-key encryption
- Are VES just misnamed? Or would applications fail if encryption part were missing?



